

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. CR 19-00413 EMC
11 Plaintiff,) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME
12 v.) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
13 DANIEL HALPERN and CLAUDIA RANGEL)
14 RODRIGUEZ,)
15 Defendants.)
16

17 On February 12, 2020, the parties appeared for a status conference. The Court set a further status
18 conference on April 15, 2020. At the hearing the parties agreed and the Court further ordered that the
19 time in which the defendants must be brought to trial pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3131
20 *et seq.* be excluded between February 12, 2020 and April 15, 2020, for effective preparation of counsel.

21 Therefore, for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude time between February
22 12, 2020 and April 15, 2020, would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective
23 preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The
24 Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between February 12, 2020 and
25 April 15, 2020, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public
26 and the defendants in a speedy trial.

27 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the matter is set before this Court on April 15 2020 at 2:30 p.m.
28 for a further status conference, and that the time between February 12, 2020 and April 15, 2020, shall be

1 excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3
4 DATED: February 14, 2020



HON. EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge